

## Abstract

- Learning is used extensively in modeling emergent behaviors in autonomous driving.
- But, integrating autonomous vehicles (AVs) into the real world requires AVs to adhere to social norms of driving.
- However, current learning-based AV control methods often overlook this crucial aspect.
- We look at the problem of enabling socially compatible driving when AV control policies are learned.
- We show without social compatibility, AV policies tend to adopt dangerously competitive driving behaviors, while the incorporation of social compatibility fosters smoother vehicle maneuvers.

## Motivation

#### **Emergent behaviors in driving**



- $\bullet$

## Methodology Learning human driving preferences

Human driving demonstrations **IRL**-based Preference preference model learning H (•)  $\tau \in \mathsf{D}$ 



### Modeling different driving behaviors

and competitive driving behaviors



### Learning multi-behavior socially compatible driving

multiple driving behaviors.

objective:  $\mathcal{J}(\pi) = \max$ 

## Multi-behavior Learning for Socially Compatible Autonomous Driving Sanjula Jayawardana <sup>+</sup>, Vindula Jayawardana <sup>‡</sup>,Kaneeka Vidanage <sup>+</sup>, Cathy Wu<sup>‡</sup> <sup>+</sup> Informatics Institute of Technology <sup>‡</sup> MIT Correspondence: sanjula.2019783@iit.ac.lk

Current works focus on learning emergent driving behaviors without considering the social compatibility of the learned behaviors.

In the absence of social compatibility, the learned policies may lead to road rage and would face societal resistance.

• Inverse reinforcement learning on real-world driving data.

• Social value orientation to capture altruistic, prosocial, individualistic

$$g = \cos(\phi)r_s(\cdot) + \sin(\phi)r_o(\cdot)$$

• Multi-task learning to learn a unified policy that can demonstrate

$$\propto \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{\phi\in\Phi}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\gamma^t \Big[r(s_t,a_t)+\mu h(s_t,a_t)\Big]|s_0=s,\pi(\cdot|\phi)
ight]$$

where  $h(s_t, a_t) = H_{\sigma}^{AV}(s_t, a_t) \cos(\phi) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i H_{\sigma}^i(s_t, a_t) \sin(\phi)$ 

#### **Schematic overview**

## Results



# Conclusion



• Without social compatibility, the default behavior (baseline) is significantly aggressive even more than the competitive behavior.

• Social compatibility can even help achieve the main objective.

Average human social preference and AV social preference

Social compatibility is an important aspect when learning AV control policies.

• In the absence of social compatibility, acquired AV behaviors may take on an aggressive nature, potentially endangering those around the AVs.

Integrating social compatibility into learning enables smooth driving and more humanlike driving behaviors.



